

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/916,164

Applicant(s)

SABDE ET AL.

Examiner

Sylvia R MacArthur

Art Unit

1763

## All Participants:

(1) Sylvia R MacArthur.

(2) Steven Arterberry.

Status of Application: First action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 19 November 2003

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 60 and 61*

Prior art documents discussed:

*US 6,124,207*

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner MacArthur contacted Mr. Arterberry to inform him that claim 60 was allowable as the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a non-abrasive lubricating planarizing solution having a viscosity of at least 4-100 cP.*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)